

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re the Application of:) Group Art Unit: 2875
CAPLAN et al.	Examiner: S. Husar
Serial No.: 09/287,436	SUBMISSION OF MATERIALS UNDER MPEP 2001.06(c)
Filed: April 7, 1999)
Atty. File No.: 4312-14-1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE AS
For: "ILLUMINATION ASSEMBLY FOR DENTAL AND MEDICAL APPLICATIONS	FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS, WASHINGTON, DC 20231 ON June 6, 2001. SHERIDAN ROSS P.C. BY:

Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

The present Submission of Material is filed in compliance with MPEP 2001.06(c) entitled "Information from Related Litigation." The following documents are provided herewith:

"Notice of Entry of Judgement Without Opinion", Surgical Acuity v. General Scientific, 99-1406, DCT WD/WI - 98-CV-457;

"Judgment" (from the above-captioned case) dated May 4, 2000;

"Order" from United States District Court, Western District of Wisconsin, Surgical Acuity, Inc. v. General Scientific Corporation, case no. 98-CV-457-S, dated April 22, 1999.

"Order" from United States District Court, Western District of Wisconsin, Surgical Acuity, Inc. v. General Scientific Corporation, case no. 98-CV-457-S, dated July 22, 1999.

RECEIVED

JUL 10 2001

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 SPECIAL PROGRAM CENTER Respectfully submitted,

SHERJOAN ROSS P.C.

By: oseph E. Kovarik

Registration No. 33,005 1560 Broadway, Suite 1200

Denver, Colorado 80202-5141

(303) 863-9700

 $M:\4312\-14\-1\submission\ of\ material.wpd$

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT



NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT WITHOUT OPINION

JUDGMENT ENTERED: 05/04/00

The judgment of the court in your case was entered today pursuant to Rule 36. This Court affirmed the judgment or decision that was appealed. None of the relief sought in the appeal was granted. No opinion accompanied the judgment. The mandate will be issued in due course.

Information is also provided about petitions for rehearing and suggestions for rehearing in banc. The questions and answers are those frequently saked and answered by the Clerk's Office.

Costs are taxed against the Appellant(s) in favor of the Appellec(s) under Rule 39. The party entitled to costs is provided a bill of costs form and an instruction sheet with this notice.

The parties are encouraged to stipulate to the costs. A bill of costs will be presumed correct in the absence of a timely filed objection.

Costs are payable to the party awarded in costs. If costs are awarded to the government, they should be paid to the Treasurer of the United States. Where costs are awarded against the government, payment should be made to the person(s) designated under the governing statutes, the court's orders, and the parties' written settlement agreements. In cases between private parties, payment should be made to counsel for the party awarded costs or, if the party is not represented by counsel, to the party pro-se. Payment of costs should not be sent to the court. Costs should be paid promptly.

Exhibits and visual aids shall be promptly retrieved by the party that lodged them with this court.

varmenbary/86

P04

JAN HORBALY Clerk

oc: DOUGLAS W. SPRINKLE JOHN F. PLANNERY

SURGICAL ACUITY V GENERAL SCIENTIF, 99-1406 DCT WD/WI - 98-CV-457

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



NOTE: Persuant to Fed. Cir. R. 47.6, this disposition is not climble at precedent. It is a public record. The disposition will appear in tables published periodically.

thiled Itales Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

99-1406

SURGICAL ACUITY, INC.,

Plaintiff-Appelled,

GENERAL SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION,

Defendant-Apppllant.

FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

MAY 0 4 2000

JAN HORBALY CLERK

Judgmont

ON APPEAL from the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin in CASE NO(S). 98-CV-457

This CAUSE having been heard and considered, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED:

Per Curiam (MICHEL, LOURIE and RADER, Circuit Judges):

AFFIRMED. See Ped. Cir. R. 36.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

DATED MAY 0 4 2000

varmorsay /

UNITED STATES DISTRICT C JUN 1 4 2001 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

APR 2 2 1999

SURGICAL

Plaintiff.

JUDGMENT IN A CHYLLYGASE JOSEPH W. SKUPNIEWITZ, CL

V.

GENERAL SCIENTIFIC CORP.,

Defendant.

This action came before the court and a jury with DISTRICT JUDGE JOHN C. SHABAZ presiding. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED

THAT JUDGMENT IS ENTERED IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF SURGICAL ACUITY, INC. AGAINST DEFENDANT GENERAL SCIENTIFIC CORP. DECLARING THAT UNITED STATES PATENT NUMBER 5,667,291 IS INFRINGED BY SAID DEFENDANT WHO TOGETHER WITH HOSE IN PRIVITY WITH IT ARE PERMANENTLY ENJOINED FROM FURTHER INFRINGEMENT OF SAID '291 PATENT AND THAT PLAINTIFF IS AWARDED FROM SAID DEFENDANT \$274,410.00 WHICH INCLUDES \$91,470.00 FOR WILLFULLNESS, PREJUDGMENT INTEREST

Approved as to form this 21st day of April, 1999.

JOHN C. SHABAZ DISTRICT JUDGE

Joseph W. Skupniewitz, Clerk

APR 2 2 1999

Date

by Deputy Clerk

NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

AMENDED NIEWITZ CLERK

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

ITY, INC.,

Case No.: 98-C-457-S

v.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

GENERAL SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION,

Plaintiff.

This action came before the court with DISTRICT JUDGE JOHN C. SHABAZ presiding. The issues Defendant have been considered and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED

THAT JUDGMENT PREVIOUSLY ENTERED IN THIS MATTER ON APRIL 22, 1999 IS AFFIRMED AND AMENDED TO INCLUDE PREJUDGMENT INTEREST IN THE AMOUNT OF \$7,682.

Approved as to form this 21st day of July, 1999.

hul Show

JOHN C. SHABAZ DISTRICT JUDGÉ

FORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE,

Copy of this document has been provided to

Deputy Clerk

JUL 2 2 1999

by Deputy Clerk

Joseph W. Skupniewitz, Clerk

Date